

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/534,969		LEBOT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	VICTOR MACARTHUR		3679	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) VICTOR MACARTHUR

(2) James Hamilton

**Date of Interview:** 8 October 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All*

Claims discussed:

*All*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Art of Record*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** ---

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** ---

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant's representative gave consent for the attached examiner's amendment.

Also, the examiner explained that the cancelation of claim 47 was necessary since the limitations "to receive said insert... of said petals" (lines 2-6 of claim 47) was mere intended use that did not overcome the prior art in and of itself. Also the term "said insert" lacked proper antecedent basis. Accordingly, applicant's representative agreed to the cancelation of claim 47 in addition to the changes already of record (see interview summary mailed 8/21/2008; and response filed 9/5/2008) which are all incorporated into the attached examiner's amendment.